UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION DOCKET NO. 3:12-cr-00188-FDW-DSC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
VS.)	
)	
(21) FRANKLIN ROBBS,)	ORDER
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Defendant Franklin Robbs's Motion for Compassionate Release. (Doc. No. 1189). For the reasons that follow, Defendant's Motion for Compassionate Release is **DENIED**.

I. BACKGROUND

Defendant is 49 years old. (Doc. No. 715, p. 2). Defendant is currently incarcerated at FCI Williamsburg. Defendant pleaded guilty to Conspiracy to Commit Racketeering pursuant to a plea agreement on April 26, 2013. (Doc. No. 460). This Court sentenced Defendant to 132 months in prison in a Judgement dated March 3, 2014. (Doc. No. 774). This sentence was ordered to be served consecutive to his undischarged Wake County case and followed by 3 years supervised release. <u>Id.</u> An amended Judgement was issued May 15, 2015. (Doc. No. 875).

II. DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR COMPASSIONATE RELEASE

In his Motion, Defendant discusses, in general terms, the conditions of his prison facility and the policies of the prison. <u>Id.</u> Defendant cited only *his* hypertension as increasing the likelihood of him contracting or being adversely affected by COVID-19. Defendant's medical records do not indicate that his hypertension in any way affects his ability to care for himself, in fact, his hypertension appears to have resolved based on Defendant's own efforts to improve his

health. Therefore, Defendant does not cite a qualifying circumstance under United States Code Title 18, Section 3582(c)(1)(A) that suggests this court should release him from his sentence early.

United States Code Title 18, Section 3582(c)(1)(A), as amended by the First Step Act, provides that the court may not modify a term of imprisonment once it has been imposed except that:

- (A) the court, upon motion of the Director of the Bureau of Prisons, or upon motion of the defendant after the defendant has fully exhausted all administrative rights to appeal a failure of the Bureau of Prisons to bring a motion on the defendant's behalf or the lapse of 30 days from the receipt of such a request by the warden of the defendant's facility, whichever is earlier, may reduce the term of imprisonment (and may impose a term of probation or supervised release with or without conditions that does not exceed the unserved portion of the original term of imprisonment), after considering the factors set forth in section 3553(a) to the extent they are applicable, if it finds that
 - (i) extraordinary and compelling reasons warrant such a reduction; or
 - (ii) the defendant is at least 70 years of age, has served at least 30 years in prison, pursuant to a sentence imposed under section 3559(c), for the offense or offenses for which the defendant is currently imprisoned, and determination has been made by the Director of the Bureau of Prisons that the defendant is not a danger to the safety of any other person or the community, as provided under section 3142(g)...¹

In addition to satisfying subsections (i) or (ii), the reduction must also be "consistent With applicable policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission...." 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1).

¹ Addressing factors to reasonably assure the appearance of the person as required and the safety of any other person and the community.

The Sentencing Commission's policy statement with regard to compassionate release is set forth in U.S. Sentencing Guidelines § 1B1.13. The Policy recognizes the following extraordinary and compelling reasons:

- (A) Medical Condition of the Defendant –
- (i) The defendant is suffering from a terminal illness (i.e., a serious physical and advanced illness with an end of life trajectory)....
 - (ii) The defendant is
 - (I) suffering from a serious physical or medical condition,
 - (II) suffering from a serious functional or cognitive impairment, or
 - (III) experiencing deteriorating physical or mental health because of the aging process,

that substantially diminishes the ability of the defendant to provide self-care within the environment of a correctional facility and from which he or she is not expected to recover.

- (B) Age of the Defendant The defendant (i) is at least 65 years old; (ii) is experiencing a serious deterioration in physical or mental health because of the aging process; and (iii) has served at least 10 years or 75 percent of his or her term of imprisonment, whichever is less.
- (C) Family Circumstances.
 - (i) The death or incapacitation of the caregiver of the defendant's minor child or minor children.
 - (ii) The incapacitation of the defendant's spouse or registered partner when the defendant would be the only available caregiver for the spouse or registered partner.
 - (D) Other Reasons As determined by the Director of the Bureau of Prisons, there exists in the defendant's case an extraordinary and compelling reason other than, or in a combination with, the reasons described in subdivisions (A) through (C).

U.S.S.G. § 1B1.13, application note 1; see also 28 U.S.C. § 994(t) (authorizing the Commission to describe what should be considered extraordinary and compelling reasons for sentence reduction). Rehabilitation, by itself, is not an extraordinary and compelling reason for sentence

reduction. 28 U.S.C. § 994(t); U.S.S.G. § 1B1.13, application note 3.

Defendant has failed to demonstrate the existence of "extraordinary and compelling reasons" for supporting his Motion pursuant to § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i). Defendant does not assert that he has a terminal or serious health condition, or deterioration in physical or mental health due to aging, or family circumstances that would support release under subsections A, B, or C of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.13, application note 1. See (Doc. No. 1192). Defendant makes a general allegation that he is at risk of contracting COVID-19 due to prison conditions and his asymptomatic hypertension. See United States v. Raia, 954 F.3d 594, 597 (3d Cir. 2020) ("the mere existence of COVID-19 in society and the possibility that it may spread to a particular prison alone cannot independently justify compassionate release, especially considering BOP's statutory role, and its extensive and professional efforts to curtail the virus's spread"); United States v. Hood, No. 5:18-CR-00005-KDB, 2020 WL 2091070, at *3 (W.D.N.C. Apr. 30, 2020) ("Without a particularized susceptibility to the disease and a particularized risk of contracting the disease at his prison facility, the Court will not grant a motion for compassionate release based on COVID-19 concerns.").

Nor does Defendant identify any other circumstances that are "extraordinary and compelling" under subsection D of the applicable policy statement other than the COVID-19 pandemic. See Id.; see also U.S.S.G. § 1B1.13, application note 1(D). Further, there is no evidence that BOP cannot care for Defendant adequately. Thus, Defendant has failed to make the required showing under § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i).

Even if Defendant qualified for release due to extraordinary and compelling reasons,

§ 3582(c)(1)(A) requires that this Court consider the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) before granting Defendant's motion. These factors, which include "the nature and circumstances of [his] offense," his "history and characteristics," and "the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, to provide just punishment for the offense, to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct and to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant," weigh in favor of Defendant's continued imprisonment. 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

Defendant was the North Carolina "Godfather" the United Bloods Nation (UBN), a violent gang, and continued to direct criminal activities even while imprisoned. While imposing Defendant's sentence in the instant case, this Court noted its consideration of the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the Defendant, as well as the need to promote respect for the law, provide just punishment for the offense, afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct and protect the public from further crimes of the defendant. See (Doc. 775). Prior to Defendant's federal conviction and sentencing, Defendant had been convicted of assault with intent to murder, second degree robbery, petit larceny, attempted robbery, attempt to obtain property under false pretenses, financial card fraud, theft and credit card forgery, resisting a public officer, criminal possession of a controlled substance, second degree assault, and possession with intent to sell or deliver cocaine. (Doc. No. 715, pg. 23-31). Considering Defendant's violent conduct, his extensive criminal history, his disrespect for the law, and the need to protect the public from him as well as the other 3553(a) factors, the Defendant's Motion for Compassionate Release (Doc. No. 1189) is **DENIED**.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Defendant Franklin Robbs's Motion for Compassionate Release (Doc. No. 1189) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: December 1, 2020

Frank D. Whitney United States District Judge